



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,092	01/17/2001	Alberto Bellotti	1033-2	6127
23869 7	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			BAROT, BHARAT	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	2155	
)	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	per	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· Office Action Commons	09/765,092	BELLOTTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bharat N Barot	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuary 2001.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the consequen	epted or b) objected to by the larawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "said communication server, said software, and said mode" and claim 14 recites the limitation "said software". There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims 1 and 14.

Other dependent claims, which are not specifically cited above are also rejected because of the deficiencies of their respective parent claims.

Art Unit: 2155

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-20 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pepe et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,742,905). Pepe's patent meets all the limitations for claims 1-20 recited in the claimed invention.
- 7. As to claim 1, Pepe et al disclose a computer communication system for communicating among users on an electronic communication network (abstract; and figures 1-3) comprising: a plurality of user accessible stations connected to the network (figures 1 and 3; column 5 lines 28-53; and column 6 lines 52-59); application software configured to effect transfer of communication between the stations and a communication server (figure 4; and column 7 line 16 to column 8 line 53); the software including a graphic user interface for indicating communication transfer to at least one the station, the application software further permitting selective communication modes, the modes being user selectable through the graphic user interface (figures 1-2, 33, and 35; column 5 line 54 to column 6 line 51; column 6 line 60 to column 7 line 15; and column 34 line 9 to column 36 line 9).

Art Unit: 2155

8. As to claims 2 and 4, Pepe et al disclose that the communication modes include text, audio, video (image), voice and combinations thereof, and each station supports two-way text, audio, video (image) and voice communication and combinations thereof (figures 33 and 35; and column 34 line 9 to column 36 line 9).

- 9. As to claim 3, Pepe et al disclose that the application software includes text-to speech conversion capabilities (figure 24; column 10 lines 11-16; column 29 lines 56-64; and column 30 lines 28-56).
- 10. As to claims 5-6, Pepe et al disclose that the application software is configured to identify users accessing the stations and permit specific user access at each of the plurality of stations (figures 3-4; and column 6 line 52 to column 8 line 30).
- 11. As to claim 7, Pepe et al disclose a communication server connected to the plurality of stations (figure 3; and column 6 lines 52-59).
- 12. As to claims 8-11, Pepe et al disclose that the application software includes server-based software configured to effect communication between the plurality of stations and the communication server, the server-based software is operative to effect communication among the plurality of stations; and the application software includes station-based software configured to effect

Art Unit: 2155

communication directly between the plurality of stations, the station-based software is user configurable (figures 4-6; and column 7 line 16 to column 14 line 21).

- 13. As to claims 12-13, Pepe et al disclose that the station-based software includes message indicating capability for providing an indication at the station of receipt of the communication, and the message indicating capability includes graphic and audio indications and combinations thereof (figures 33 and 35; and column 34 line 9 to column 36 line 9).
- 14. As to claims 14-16, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-4 above, since claims 14-16 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-4.

As to claims 17-20, Pepe et al teach that the transferring step includes: entering the communication data at one of the plurality of stations; and selecting the one or more the users of the network to whom communication is desired and also selecting one or more the stations to which communication is desired (figures 1-3; and column 5 line 27 to column 7 line 15).

Additional References

- 15. The examiner as of general interest cites the following references.
 - a. Segur, U.S. Patent No. 6,212,550.
 - b. Shaughnessy et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,928,325.

Art Unit: 2155

Contact Information

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (703) 305-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, can be reached at (703) 308-6662. A central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BHARAT BAROT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Therest Boot.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

June 02, 2004